

3

4

5

## **COMMISSIONERS**

DOCKETED SEP 1 7 2012

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION C.... DOCKET CONTROL

GARY PIERCE - Chairman BOB STUMP SANDRA D. KENNEDY PAUL NEWMAN

BOCKETEB BY

2012 SEP 17 AM 11 42

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

J. ALAN SMITH,

BRENDA BURNS

DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0007

8

VS.

PAYSON WATER CO., INC. /BROOKE UTILITIES, INC.

COMPLAINANT,

RESPONDENT.

## PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On January 10, 2012, J. Alan Smith ("Complainant") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") a Formal Complaint against Payson Water Co., Inc. ("Payson Water" or "Company") and Brooke Utilities, Inc.

On February 2, 2012, Payson Water filed an Answer to the Complaint and Motion to Dismiss.

On February 16, 2012, the Complainant filed a Reply to Respondent's Answer.

On February 23, 2012, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a procedural conference for March 9, 2012.

On March 9, 2012, the procedural conference was held as scheduled.

On March 29, 2012, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss.

On March 30, 2012, Payson Water filed a Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the Complaint.

On April 3, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the Complaint and Motion to Deny.

On April 3, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Deny.

28

1	On April 9, 2012, Payson Water filed a Reply to Complainant's Response to Payson Water	
2	Co.'s Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Deny.	
3	On April 9, 2012, the Company also filed a Reply by Payson Water Co. to Complainant's	
4	Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the	
5	Complaint.	
6	On April 13, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion	
7	to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the Complaint and Motion to Deny.	
8	On April 13, 2012, the Complainant also filed an Objection to Respondent's Reply to	
9	Complainant's Response to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Stay.	
10	On April 20, 2012, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Notice of Filing	
11	regarding the status of a subpoena issued to Martin's Trucking.	
12	On May 3, 2012, Staff filed a Status of Mediation indicating that a settlement was not reached	
13	by the parties and requesting that a hearing be scheduled.	
14	On June 18, 2012, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a hearing for August 7, 2012	
15	and setting deadlines for the filing of pre-filed testimony.	
16	On July 16, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Complainant's Initial Discovery and	
17	Disclosure.	
18	On July 17, 2012, the Complainant filed his direct testimony.	
19	On July 23, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Complainant's Second Discovery and	
20	Disclosure.	
21	On July 30, 2012, Payson Water filed rejoinder testimony.	
22	On July 30, 2012, Staff filed a Memorandum stating its position regarding the complaint.	
23	On August 1, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Complainant's Third Discovery and	
24	Disclosure.	
25	On August 1, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Service of Process Subpoenas on Jin	
26	Pearson and Pearson Water Company.	

28

Water Co. to Comply with Subpoenas.

On August 1, 2012, the Complainant filed a Motion to Compel Jim Pearson and Pearson

On August 1, 2012, the Complainant filed a Motion to Compel Respondents to Comply with Complainant's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Data Requests.

On August 1, 2012, Payson Water filed a Supplemental Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities Inc. as a Party to the Complaint.

On August 2, 2012, Payson Water filed a Notice of Initial Disclosure.

On August 6, 2012, Payson Water filed a Supplemental Motion to Dismiss.

On August 7, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Complainant's Fourth Discovery and Disclosure.

On August 7, 2012, the hearing in this matter was convened, and at which time the Complainant requested a continuance of the hearing for 90 days. The Complainant indicated that he had consulted with an attorney who had agreed to represent him in this complaint case, but the attorney needed an additional 60 to 90 days to review the case file. On that basis, the hearing was continued for 90 days, on the condition that the Complainant's attorney discuss with the other parties an alternative hearing schedule and submit such schedule well before the 90 days were exhausted. To date, no appearance of counsel has been filed on behalf of the Complainant; nor has a proposal been submitted with alternative hearing dates.

On August 7, 2012, Dennis B. Tresca filed an Application for Intervention.

On August 13, 2012, Payson Water filed a Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the Complaint.

On August 13, 2012, Payson Water filed an Objection to Complainant's Fourth Discovery and Disclosure.

On August 13, 2012, Payson Water filed an Objection to Application of Dennis B. Tresca for Intervention.

On August 20, 2012, Payson Water filed a Notice of Timely Compliance with Subpoena.

On August 20, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response to Respondent's Objection to Tresca Application for Intervention and Motion to Deny.

On August 20, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response to Respondent's Objection to Complainant's Fourth Discovery and Disclosure and Motion to Deny.

On August 20, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss a

1	Portion of the Complaint and Motion to Deny.
2	On August 23, 2012, Payson Water filed a Reply to Complainant's Response to Respondent's
3	Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the Complaint.
4	On September 4, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response to Respondent's Reply to
5	Complainant's Challenge to Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the Complaint.
6	On September 6, 2012, Payson Water filed a Motion to Quash Subpoena.
7	On September 12, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Service of Process Subpoenas on
8	Robert T. Hardcastle, Brooke Utilities, Inc., and Payson Water Co.
9	On September 13, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's
10	Motion to Quash Subpoena.
11	On September 13, 2012, Mary E. Hansen filed an Application for Intervention.
12	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a procedural conference shall be scheduled for
13	September 28, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, Hearing Room No. 1, 1200
14	West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, to discuss pending procedural matters.
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for the Complainant shall file a Notice of
16	Appearance prior to the September 28, 2012, procedural conference, and shall attend that
17	procedural conference and all subsequent hearings, and shall file all future pleadings as the attorney
18	representing the Complainant.
19	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized
20	Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's
21	Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend,
23	or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at
24	hearing.
25	DATED this 17th day of September, 2012.
26	Druces
27	DWIGHT D NODES

ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

1	
	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered This // two day of September, 2012 to:
2	This / / v day of September, 2012 to.
3	J. Alan Smith
4	8166 Barrança Road
5	Payson, AZ 85541
6	Robert T. Hardcastle BROOKE UTILITIES, INC.
7	P.O. Box 82218 Bakersfield, CA 93380
8	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
9	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
10	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
11	Steve Olea, Director
12	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
13	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
14	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
15	2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 Phoenix, AZ 85004
16	
17	By: Debbi Person
18	Assistant to Dwight D. Nodes
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	:
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	m · ·